



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,176	08/25/2003	Vladimir Gurevich	1400-42 (1575)	4436

7590 12/09/2005

David M. Carter. Esq.
Carter, DeLuca, Farrell & Schmidt, LLP
Suite 225
445 Broad Hollow Road
Melville, NY 11747

EXAMINER

FUREMAN, JARED

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/648,176

Applicant(s)

GUREVICH ET AL.

Examiner

Jared J. Fureman

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment, on 9/30/2005, which has been entered in the file. Claims 1-28 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshida (EP 0 335 656 A1, cited by applicant).

Yoshida teaches a system and method for imaging, said system and method comprising: a reader (see figure 2) comprising an image sensor (image pick-up element 3, figure 2 and column 2, line 45) for imaging a target (whatever is within the image pick-up element's field of view, target screen H_f, for example) and generating at least one data signal (amplitude values of blue, green and red signals S_B, S_G and S_R from the image pick-up element 3, A/D converter 12 and associated circuitry, see column 2, lines 56-58) representative of at least one parameter of at least one wavelength component of said target impinging onto said image sensor, and at least one lens (zoom lens 2, figure 2, column 2, line 37) positioned for movement along an optical axis of said reader (see figure 2 and column 2, lines 43-55), wherein each of said at least one data signal represents a particular color (green light L_G, blue light L_B and red light L_R, see figure 3 and column 2, lines 43-55); a signal processor (auto-focus control circuit 10, which

comprises a computer processing circuit such as a microprocessor, see figure 2 and column 3, lines 36-38) comprising means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10, see column 3, line 36 - column 4, line 18) for performing an analysis utilizing principles of axial chromatic aberration and at least one value indicative of the parameter of the at least one wavelength component, and means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10 and focus position control circuit 15, see column 4, lines 12-18) for determining an amount of movement of said at least one lens for adjusting a focus quality of an image corresponding to said target and impinged onto said image sensor, such that said amount of movement is determinative by data signals representing one or more colors (the blue, green and red signals S_B , S_G and S_R from the image pick-up element 3, see column 2, lines 56-58 and column 4, lines 3-32); an actuator (not shown, but necessarily present, see column 4, lines 12-18 and column 5, lines 30-36) operatively coupled to said at least one lens for moving said at least one lens along said optical axis of said reader by at least the determined amount for adjusting the focus quality of said image;

wherein said processor further comprises means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10) for determining a distance to said optical target by accessing at least one data structure (a table of values stored in an internal ROM, not shown, see figure 5 and column 3, line 61 - column 4, line 32) and correlating the at least one value indicative of the parameter of the at least one wavelength component to said distance (the properly focus position P is indicative of the distance to the optical target, see figure 4 and column 5, lines 1-42);

wherein said at least one wavelength component is selected from the group consisting of blue, green and red wavelength components (see column 3, lines 10-15);

wherein said means for performing said analysis comprises means for performing the steps of determining a difference by subtracting a first wavelength component of said at least one wavelength component from a second wavelength component of said at least one wavelength component (steps 104, 105, 110, 113 and 116, of the flow chart in figure 6, wherein it is determined whether one signal is greater than another, is analogous to subtracting a first wavelength component from a second wavelength component); determining whether the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens along said optical axis (steps 106, 107, 111, 112, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119 and 120, see figure 6), wherein said amount of movement is determined if the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens; and determining a direction of movement of said at least one lens according to whether the difference is positive or negative, if said difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens (see figure 6 and the corresponding description at column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50);

wherein said means for performing said analysis comprises means for performing the steps of: determining a difference by subtracting said at least one value from a value stored within a memory (the values S_B' , S_G' and S_R' , represent the normalized values stored in the table stored in memory, see figures 5 and 6) or by subtracting said stored value from said at least one value and taking an absolute value of said difference; and determining whether the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens along said optical axis, wherein said amount of movement is

determined if the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens (see figure 6 and the corresponding description at column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50);

wherein said method utilizes principles of axial chromatic aberration, wherein a first wavelength (S_B , see figure 4) having said first wavelength component has an optimum focus at a first focus plane (A, see figure 4) and a second wavelength (S_G , see figure 4) having said second wavelength component has an optimum focus at a second focus plane (P, see figure 4), and wherein said first and second focus planes are different due to axial chromatic aberration; (also see figures 2-6, column 2, lines 7-21, column 2, line 35 - column 5, line 42, column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50 and column 8, lines 12-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida.

Yoshida teaches a system and method for imaging, said system and method comprising: a reader (see figure 2) comprising an image sensor (image pick-up element 3, figure 2 and column 2, line 45) for imaging a target (whatever is within the image pick-up element's field of view, target screen H_i , for example) and generating at least one data signal (amplitude values of blue, green and red signals S_B , S_G and S_R from the

image pick-up element 3, A/D converter 12 and associated circuitry, see column 2, lines 56-58) representative of at least one parameter of at least one wavelength component of said target impinging onto said image sensor, and at least one lens (zoom lens 2, figure 2, column 2, line 37) positioned for movement along an optical axis of said reader (see figure 2 and column 2, lines 43-55), wherein each of said at least one data signal represents a particular color (green light L_G , blue light L_B and red light L_R , see figure 3 and column 2, lines 43-55); a signal processor (auto-focus control circuit 10, which comprises a computer processing circuit such as a microprocessor, see figure 2 and column 3, lines 36-38) comprising means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10, see column 3, line 36 - column 4, line 18) for performing an analysis utilizing principles of axial chromatic aberration and at least one value indicative of the parameter of the at least one wavelength component, and means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10 and focus position control circuit 15, see column 4, lines 12-18) for determining an amount of movement of said at least one lens for adjusting a focus quality of an image corresponding to said target and impinged onto said image sensor, such that said amount of movement is determinative by data signals representing one or more colors (the blue, green and red signals S_B , S_G and S_R from the image pick-up element 3, see column 2, lines 56-58 and column 4, lines 3-32); an actuator (not shown, but necessarily present, see column 4, lines 12-18 and column 5, lines 30-36) operatively coupled to said at least one lens for moving said at least one lens along said optical axis of said reader by at least the determined amount for adjusting the focus quality of said image;

wherein said processor further comprises means (means within the auto-focus control circuit 10) for determining a distance to said optical target by accessing at least one data structure (a table of values stored in an internal ROM, not shown, see figure 5 and column 3, line 61 - column 4, line 32) and correlating the at least one value indicative of the parameter of the at least one wavelength component to said distance (the properly focus position P is indicative of the distance to the optical target, see figure 4 and column 5, lines 1-42);

further comprising a feedback system, including the image sensor (image pick-up element 3) and the signal processor (auto-focus control circuit 10), for repeatedly generating the at least one data signal and performing said analysis, until said signal processor determines the target is in focus (also see the flow chart of figure 6);

further comprising a controller (focus position control circuit 15, see figure 3 and column 4, lines 12-18) for controlling the actuation of said actuator;

wherein said at least one wavelength component is selected from the group consisting of blue, green and red wavelength components (see column 3, lines 10-15);

wherein said means for performing said analysis comprises means for performing the steps of determining a difference by subtracting a first wavelength component of said at least one wavelength component from a second wavelength component of said at least one wavelength component (steps 104, 105, 110, 113 and 116, of the flow chart in figure 6, wherein it is determined whether one signal is greater than another, is analogous to subtracting a first wavelength component from a second wavelength component); determining whether the difference necessitates movement of

said at least one lens along said optical axis (steps 106, 107, 111, 112, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119 and 120, see figure 6), wherein said amount of movement is determined if the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens; and determining a direction of movement of said at least one lens according to whether the difference is positive or negative, if said difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens (see figure 6 and the corresponding description at column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50);

wherein said means for performing said analysis comprises means for performing the steps of: determining a difference by subtracting said at least one value from a value stored within a memory (the values S_B' , S_G' and S_R' , represent the normalized values stored in the table stored in memory, see figures 5 and 6) or by subtracting said stored value from said at least one value and taking an absolute value of said difference; and determining whether the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens along said optical axis, wherein said amount of movement is determined if the difference necessitates movement of said at least one lens (see figure 6 and the corresponding description at column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50); (also see figures 2-6, column 2, lines 7-21, column 2, line 35 - column 5, line 42, column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 50 and column 8, lines 12-15).

Yoshida fails to specifically teach the reader being an optical code reader; the target being an optical code; a decoder for decoding data encoded by said image; the processor performing said analysis until data is decodable by said decoder; the optical

code reader further comprising an illumination apparatus for illuminating a field of view, said field of view including the optical code.

However, optical code readers are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention (this is acknowledged in the description of related art in applicant's specification, see page 1, line 8, for example). Furthermore, it was well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that imaging type optical code readers may include a decoder for decoding data encoded by an image and an illumination apparatus (such as light emitting diodes) for illuminating a field of view, said field of view including the optical code. Furthermore, Yoshida teaches that the auto-focus system and method can be applied to other apparatus such as an image pick-up apparatus, a camera and so on that are used to pick up a still picture (see column 8, lines 12-15, of Yoshida).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the system and method as taught by Yoshida, the reader being an optical code reader; the target being an optical code; a decoder for decoding data encoded by said image; the processor performing said analysis until data is decodable by said decoder; the optical code reader further comprising an illumination apparatus for illuminating a field of view, said field of view including the optical code; in order to take advantage of the improved auto-focus system and method as taught by Yoshida in an optical code reader.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Yoshida does not disclose or suggest "generating at least one data signal representative of at least one parameter of at least one wavelength component," and "performing an analysis utilizing principles of axial chromatic aberration and at least one value indicative of the parameter of the at least one wavelength component" (see pages 13-14 and 15, of the amendment filed on 9/30/2005), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The green light L_G , blue light L_B and red light L_R (see figure 3 and column 2, lines 43-55), as taught by Yoshida, can each be considered a wavelength component of the image impinging on the image sensor (image pick up element 3). This interpretation of wavelength component is consistent with Applicant's specification, "Each wavelength component, and particularly, only the blue B, red R, and Green G wavelength components, is detected by the image sensor 130 and the associated circuitry." (see page 11, lines 3-5, of Applicant's specification). The image pick-up element 3 generates data signals (blue S_B , green S_G and red S_R signals, from the image pick-up element 3, A/D converter 12 and associated circuitry, see column 2, lines 56-58) representative of at least one parameter (in this case, amplitude) of the wavelength components (the blue, green and red light). Clearly, the detected amplitudes of the different wavelength components (the blue, green and red light) can be considered a parameter of the respective wavelength component. Yoshida

also uses the principle of axial chromatic aberration and at least one value indicative of the parameter (amplitude) of the at least one wavelength component (the blue, green and red light) (see, for example, column 2, lines 7-21, of Yoshida). Thus, the teachings of Yoshida meet the claimed limitations.

Conclusion


6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared J. Fureman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2391. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 PM M-T, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Jared J. Fureman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2876

November 29, 2005